



src activism
advocacy
representation

Julia Robins, Secretary to Council
secretary.council@src.usyd.edu.au

**Students' Representative Council,
University of Sydney**

Level 1, Wentworth Building (G01)
University of Sydney NSW 2006
PO Box 794 Broadway NSW 2007
t: (02) 9660 5222 f: (02) 9660 4260
int: 12871 www.src.usyd.edu.au
ABN: 597 391 306 68

MINUTES

of the 5th regular meeting of the 97th SRC held on Wednesday **June 4th 2025**. Meeting held in the **New Law LT 104 and via Zoom**.

*There was a quorum count at 6:13pm
The meeting was found quorate.*

A. Meeting open at 6:14pm

A1. Election of Deputy Chairperson

The Chair moved that Ethan Cao be elected Deputy Chairperson.
The motion was put and **CARRIED**.

B. Acknowledgement of Country

The University of Sydney Students' Representative Council acknowledges the traditional owners of this land (Sydney), the Gadigal people of the Eora nation. We stand on this land today as beneficiaries of an uncompensated and unreconciled dispossession that occurred over 200 years ago. Many of the descendants of those dispossessed live just down the road in abject poverty, and as young people it is important to recognise how this history of dislocation and disenfranchisement has contributed to the inequality we observe in modern society. We acknowledge both our privilege and our obligation to redress the situation as best we can: to remember the mistakes of the past, act on the problems of today, and build a future for everyone who now calls this place home, striving always for genuinely practical and meaningful reconciliation.

C. Apologies, Proxies and Leaves of Absence

Laura Alivio to Yoshi Leung
Madeline Clark to Lauren Finlayson
Lilah Thurbon to Luke Mesterovic
Emma Searle to Xavier Skazlic
Kejun Liu to Lucy Sullivan
Martha Barlow to Dana Kafina
Lucas Pierce to Max Dao

Moved: Angus Fisher
Seconded: Jasmine Donnelly
The apologies and proxies were accepted.

D. Changes to Membership

E. Electoral Report

E1. Consideration of any resignations

Kayla Hill resigns as Ethno-Cultural Officer

Jamie Bridge resigns as Queer Officer

Ethan Floyd resigns as First Nations Students' Officer

Bohao Zhang and Shovan Bhattarai resign as Vice President

Ethan Cao resigns as International Students' Officer

Christine Peng resigns as International Students' Officer

Motion: That the Council accept the resignations of the Office Bearers.

Moved: Angus Fisher

Seconded: Bohao Zhang

The motion was put and **CARRIED.**

Connor O'Neill has nominated Catherine Bai – who was not on the ballot at the last election and is ineligible without council approval in accordance with the constitution.

Motion: That the Council accept the resignation of Conner O'Neill to Catherine Bai.

Moved: Angus Fisher

Seconded: Grace Street

The motion was put and **FAILED.**

F. Minutes of the previous Council meeting.

Minutes of the meetings of 97th SRC Council held on April 2nd and May 8th 2025 were circulated.

Motion: that the minutes of the April 2nd and May 8th 2025 be accepted.

Moved: Harrison Brennan

Seconded: Sargun Saluja

The motion was put and **CARRIED.**

G. Business Arising from the Minutes

There was no business for this item.

H. Question Time of 15 minutes, which may be extended by resolution for a further 15 minutes.

I. Visitor's Business

There was no business for this item.

J. Report of the Undergraduate Fellow of Senate

K. Elections

K1. Election of 2 First Nations Students' Officer

There were no nominations.

K2. Election of 2 Mature Age Students' Officers

There were no nominations.

K3. Election of 1 Ethno-Cultural Officer

There were no nominations.

K4. Election of 1 Vice President

Joint Nomination: Shovan Bhattarai and Ethan Cao

Nominator 1: Jessica Heap

Nominator 2: Deaglan Godwin

There being no other nominees Shovan Bhattarai and Ethan Cao were declared elected unopposed as the Vice Presidents of the 97th SRC.

K5. Elections of 1 International Students' Officer

Joint Nomination: Christine Pang and Lucas He

Nominator 1: Bohao Zhang

Nominator 2: Vince Tafea

There being no other nominees Christine Pang and Lucas He were declared elected unopposed as International Students' Officers of the 97th SRC.

L. Report of the President and Executive

L1. Executive Minutes

Minutes of the Executive meetings from the 8th of April to the 25th of May 2025.

Motion: that the minutes of the executive meetings from 8th of April to the 25th of May 2025 be accepted.

Moved: Angus Fisher

Seconded: Grace Street

The motion was put and **CARRIED.**

L2. Report of the Executive

Report of the executive from the 1st December to the 25th of May 2025.

The report was circulated and noted.

The President deferred the Chair to the Deputy Chairperson.

L3. President's Report

Angus Fisher tabled a written and gave a verbal report:

May and June Report:

Hi all, I have had an extremely busy semester, and a lot of work has been done since the April council, so let's go through the high lights week by week:

Week 8:

Last week, I attended one of the most important meetings that I have been invited to as SRC President in the Academic Board meeting. There are only six in the year, but all the key decision makers from University management, to Deans of schools, and other student and staff representatives attend, making to nearly 100 people. I have a report where I raise the most important issues pressing undergraduate students. I noted how the CAP has been unfairly applied to students and staff, the SRC's Put the Liberals Last campaign, as well as noting the importance of face-to-face consultation with student representatives in university policy implementation. Importantly, I secured a review and meeting regarding the university's definition of anti-semitism for the end of the year, which will highlight if reasonable dialogue has been wrongly silenced throughout the year.

Week 9:

Not only did they fail to form a government, but Peter Dutton also lost his own seat, which is the cherry on top. The Don't Risk Dutton campaign was an example of a highly successful grassroots campaign led by the union movement, and I'm proud that the USyd SRC could be even a small part of it with our BBQ stalls and social media content. He's why I am proud the SRC played a role in it: The strategy of the Liberals is to cut. If they have their way they will cut Medicare and health funding, government service departments that help the most vulnerable in society, university and research funding, and funding towards renewable energy. Nothing will be spared in the pursuit of "government efficiency".

You don't even need to look at the Liberals' past behaviour of lining the pockets of their mates to know a Liberal government is awful, just look at their promises. \$600 billion to nuclear energy. 41,000 public sector jobs cut and the immediate recall to office. A housing policy letting people dip into superannuation that will cripple young people's future retirement and increase house prices. There is nothing good to highlight.

When a Liberal government isn't in power, we get better results. Note that before now, we had ten years of Liberal governments. Now inflation, unemployment, and interest rates are down, while economic growth is stable and wages are up. If a Liberal government isn't elected, we could get the biggest investment into Medicare ever and a slashing of 20% off all HECS bills. We have seen the best industrial relations reform in years.

Week 10:

The university is looking at overhauling degree planning to "streamline" students' experience. This is in response to USyd having the worst student experience scores in the Go8. Whenever "streamlining" gets mentioned, I have to ensure that this doesn't mean unnecessary course and/or staff cuts. Regardless, there is more to come on this, and just like I saved 5-day simple extensions earlier this semester, I will guarantee the outcome is best for students.

Last week, I took part in a roundtable discussion on the university's definition of antisemitism. Representatives from university management, the NTEU, staff, and, of course, students were invited to critique the definition and the process by which it was implemented. What was highlighted was that trust in university management has been seriously eroded. Some members believed that any definition could and potentially would be used to stifle free expression by the

university. For the SRC, repairing this trust between us and management would require a dedication to being involved in genuine consultation and consideration of our members' opinions.

In other news, the SRC Legal Service now has a migration lawyer! I am so happy I get to deliver this service to our amazing international student cohort.

Week 11:

Reflecting on the election, the work of the SRC is far from done. We have to keep pushing Labor to be better for students and follow through with all the demands of the Australian University Accord. We can't stay put while the government is static during an ongoing genocide in Gaza or the continuing rising inequality in our own nation, which disproportionately affects students. Stay tuned to hear what's next with the SRC.

Beyond that, I've done a lot of work on university-level issues. I'm advocating alongside SUPRA for a canteen on campus that can provide \$5 meals, hopefully to be ready early next year at the latest. The huge wait times students face when submitting an academic appeal have been raised in multiple committees, and the university has positively responded by putting more staff on the appeals board. Also trying to move the SRC office so it's even more visible to students, and it's a better place to work.

Week 12:

Last week, on May 15th, was the 77th anniversary of the Nakba, which was the beginning of the ethnic cleansing of Palestinians, and members of the SRC attended a demonstration at Town Hall. The SRC gets a lot of criticism for why we take part in and build around events like these. I believe that as students, we have overwhelming strength in numbers. We are the future, and so we ought to try to create a world that we would be proud to be in. If you doubt the strength of the student movement, even the potential of an inch of change is worth fighting for.

I had the privilege of chairing an SGM last Wednesday. Not many SRC Presidents get the opportunity to facilitate a 200+ person meeting that discusses and votes on "contentious" motions. I spent a lot of energy negotiating with the university on the conditions of the SGM to enable it to run. Initially, they wanted it to be filmed, which would sacrifice the independence of the SRC and the safety of student attendees. By going directly to university management, I argued these exact points and was able to get this condition scrapped. Negotiation works and achieves outcomes for social movements. It's a key responsibility of any SRC President.

Week 13:

Just because it's the end of the semester doesn't mean there is no work, quite the opposite.

The SRC executive selected a new logo! I think it's great, and even if you have doubts about it, I think once you see how it's used, you will be pleasantly surprised. It's no secret that our old logo was dated, so this refresh will provide some much-deserved legitimacy to the student union. I am working with the publications managers to facilitate its rollout, hopefully by welcome week, sem 2.

I have been working closely with the Postgraduate Student Fellow of Senate, Weihong Liang, in pushing the university to open a campus canteen similar the that at UniMelb, which can provide

cheap meals (hopefully \$5) for people, which will help hugely with people's budgeting. I am happy to announce that it should be up and running by sem 1 2026. This is the first time in memory that the SRC has had any effect on food pricing and options, and it will hopefully result in a win for students. There is a weekly working group that I am attending to ensure students have a voice in the decision-making.

There have been two committees of note, the SCC and the Academic Board. In SCC, I was able to secure some great wins. Firstly is a review into the university's replacement exams and "fit-to-sit" policy, which currently incentivises students to sit as many replacement exams as possible (which is 2, on top of the base exam) to maximise marks. Having a more refined policy will limit stress on students and improve decision-making capacity. In working with SUPRA, we also got a commitment for the university to support alumni emails to all students can access their accounts for free one year after graduating and pay for every year after. At the Academic Board, I raised issues on the ridiculous wait times of the 3rd stage of special consideration, which on average is 62 days or 13 weeks, which is hugely affecting degree progression. Perhaps most saliently, in my report, I questioned the Vice Chancellor on the "implementation of five key policies" which hugely restrict political freedoms and organising on campus. I noted that, similar to the CAP, another review period must be necessary with face-to-face consultation, and the VC committed to it. I cannot stress how harmful these new policies are, so I hope the SRC will play a key role in their removal.

On Tuesday, we also hosted a drug policy forum, which was highly engaging. The 4 panellists from NUAA, SSDP, the Edith Collins Centre, and UnHarm rally highlighted that even though momentum seems to be waning following the drug summit that we everyone have to keep putting in the work and putting pressure on the government to get a just drug policy.

There are only 6 months left in the council term, so let's make the most of it for the sake of our 40,000 student members.

In solidarity,
Angus

Discussion:

Angus Fisher spoke to the report and spoke about the University's '5 key policies' they have introduced and have been working on responding to the uni on these and each request and consultation has been ignored. Angus said these were raised at Academic Board with the Vice Chancellor that these should be reviewed as the harms are clear. Adding that these are the issues, lecture bashing has banned, and posters which may have left any small damage to walls will have bills sent to the group deemed responsible for the posters among others.

Verbal report addition: The appointment of Edward McMahon as the Electoral Legal Arbiter (ELA) and Riki Scanlan as the Electoral Officer (EO).

Motion to accept the report of the President, including the appointment of the ELA and EO.

Moved: Lucy Sullivan

Seconded: Bohao Zhang

The motion was put and **CARRIED**.

Procedural motion to suspend standing orders to move to Agenda items Q and R.

Moved : Angus Fisher

Seconded: Jasmine Donnelly

The procedural was put and **CARRIED.**

Procedural motion to move motions Q1 and Q2 en bloc.

Moved: Grace Street

Seconded: Jasmine Donnelly

The procedural was put and **CARRIED.**

Q1. Against domestic violence and femicide

In late March, 19 year old UTS student Audrey Griffin was horrifically murdered by 53 year old Adrian Torrens. Audrey was walking home from a night out in the Central Coast when Torrens attacked her. At the time Torrens was serving a community corrections order for a breach of an Apprehended Violence Order against his ex-wife, Michele and child. Michele has revealed that before Audrey's murder, Torrens sent her a barrage of texts and phone calls threatening to kill her. She also shared several times the legal system failed to protect her, including taking 4 hours to reach her house for a welfare check, and failing to find Torrens for 5 months when he breached the AVO.

Audrey is the 22nd woman to be murdered this year, and the 123rd since January 2024. Numbers of women killed have been increasing in recent years, with the rate increasing 28% between 2022-2023. For First Nations women, these numbers are even higher Indigenous women face a homicide rate of 3.07 per 100,000, compared to 0.45 per 100,000 for non-Indigenous women.

There is a pattern, and we are failing to stop it. Many of the women who have been killed this year have taken out AVOs against their abusers which are frequently breached, attempted to tell police, and had their concerns dismissed or not properly investigated. There is a severe funding crisis for domestic violence shelters, especially for women with children, meaning many women are forced to stay with their abusers out of financial necessity and fear of homelessness. This is exacerbated by the lack of culturally safe and diverse language facilities, which force many immigrant and refugee women to stay in unsafe situations because they cannot access help in their language.

National attention has forced the major parties to acknowledge the femicide crisis and commit money and resources to the issue, but it is still not enough. Notably, one of the biggest issues is housing, with low housing affordability and accessibility being one of the biggest barriers to fleeing domestic violence. Labor has said people facing domestic and family violence will benefit from their housing policy, but has failed to address how these housing projects will specifically prioritise those facing violence. The Liberals have failed to address this at all.

Meanwhile, misogynistic, violent, fascist rhetoric is on the rise. It is incumbent on all of us to speak out against this kind of behaviour when we see it, and stand up to the rise of fascism as it spreads into our country.

Every woman killed this year had a name, a life, and a future. We call upon everyone, particularly our governments, to understand that the necessary change can only come through a radical cultural shift and massive amounts of financial commitment.

Platform:

1. The USyd SRC condemns the murder of Audrey Griffin, and all women killed this year
2. The SRC recognises that the government must do more to prevent femicide, particularly by increasing funding for domestic violence refuges and support services, and creating more affordable housing

Action:

1. USyd SRC and WoCo will continue to share attend events such as the rally against femicide occurring this weekend
2. The SRC will support any event organised by UTS to honour Audrey's life
3. The SRC will support and promote WoCo's sit-in protest against the 'Abortion Abolitionists' on Wednesday 14th May at 2pm

Q2. Remembering Audrey Griffin

Preamble:

On the 23rd of March, 2025, the body of Audrey Griffin was found in a creek just off from The Entrance Road in Erina. Though it was initially marked as not suspicious by police, on the 21st of April, almost a month after her death, a 53-year old man, a stranger to her, was arrested and charged with her murder. He already had 11 counts of domestic violence against his name.

Audrey was a student at UTS and member of the UTS Bats AFC. She was an athlete and a prominent member of her community. She was a beloved friend, daughter, student, team mate, and human being. Unfortunately, she is not the only woman who has lost their life to violence in 2025. She was just 19 years old.

We are just over 4 months into the year, and already 24 women have been killed, with almost all of the perpetrators being men. The femicide that is happening in Australia, and across the world, is not something new. In 2024, 103 women lost their lives due to the brutality and misogyny of our society. In the wake of Saturday's election results, it remains imperative to pressure our re-elected Labor government to address and work towards ending this ongoing violence against women. Their \$4 billion pledge to women's safety initiatives to end violence against women and children is a good start, but there is still a lot of work to be done. Audrey Griffin, like all of these women, does not deserve to die in vain.

1800 Respect National Helpline: 1800 737 732

USyd Student Counselling Service: 02 8627 8433 OR 02 7255 1562

UTS Counselling Service: 02 9514 1177

Platform

The SRC recognises that:

1. There is an ongoing femicide happening in Australia, marked by the loss of 24 women to date in 2025.

2. Student activism and governmental reform are both necessary in fighting this femicide.
3. We all must stand in solidarity with victims, victim survivors, and their families who have experienced gender-based harassment, violence, and assault.

Action

The SRC commits to:

1. Holding an immediate 1 minute of silence in memoriam for Audrey Griffin and the other 23 women who have been killed in Australia this year.
2. Engaging in student activism and protests that demand an end to this femicide.
3. Holding the Labor government accountable to their election promises to support women.

Discussion of Q1 and Q2:

Jessica Heap spoke to the motions talking about the deaths of women in Australia this year alone, adding that since this motion was submitted to council last month a report has come out in which there was an increase in the number of men who have admitted to being violent to a partner. This is an issue that needs to be taken seriously.

Lucy Sullivan spoke to the motion and spoke about Audrey as a person and noted that it is exhausting that this keeps happening. Adding that she doesn't want to die because someone feels like killing a woman. Concluding that attached to this motion are resources to help those who need support, and this is not just about the number of women being killed but remembering their lives.

Jasmine Donnelly said she was also in similar circles to Audry Griffin saying she was a lovely person. Noting that this sort of thing can cause fear, and a sense of paralysis, but we need to fight not hide away to be able to keep going out and create change in this world.

Luke Mešterović spoke to the motion and thanked people for speaking and extended condolences to the friends and family of Audry Griffin. Adding that the horrific number of femicides this year, and the global political conditions of people dismissing sexual violence and wanting to control women's bodily autonomy cannot stand. Saying there are things we can do and fight to change to make things safer for everyone, and commended the motions to council.

Motion Q1 and Q2 were moved en bloc

Q1

Moved: Moved: Lucy Sullivan

Seconded: Jasmine Donnelly

Q2

Moved: Martha Barlow

Seconded: Jess Heap

The motions were put and **CARRIED**

The council held a minute of silence for Audrey Griffin.

Q3. Support the PAG protest on June 8th and the NAKSA NDA on June 5th

In light of Israel's approved plan to siege Gaza and ethnically cleanse the strip of Palestinians, the fight against the never-ending Nakba is more important than ever. May 15 is commemorated every year as the beginning of *Al Nakba* - Arabic for the catastrophe. The Nakba was the beginning of the ethnic cleansing of Palestinians from their homes in 1948 that was a necessary step to establish the ethnostate of Israel. Throughout the process of the Nakba, between 750,000 to 1 million Palestinians were violently displaced from their homes and forced into an exile that continues illegally to this day and over 500 Palestinian villages and towns were depopulated by Zionist militias. Israeli forces poisoned water wells and lined demolished villages with land mines to prevent expelled Palestinians from returning to their homes. The Nakba was also marked by brutal, indiscriminate massacres of civilian villages such as Deir Yassin or Tantura, including of Palestinian Jews who refused to join the Zionists and turn arms on their neighbours. The Zionist leaders and militias responsible for this crime against humanity went on to become leaders of the Israeli state and form the IDF, the military sickeningly nicknamed "the most moral army in the world."

Next week on Thursday the 15th of May will be the annual Nakba commemoration protest at 6:30pm at Town Hall. Students for Palestine have also organised a contingent to the rally to meet at 6:15pm on May 15th at the QVB statue. This protest will mark 77 years since the original ethnic cleansing of Palestine in 1948. As well as Israel's long history of apartheid, land theft and genocide against Palestinians in the intervening years, the ongoing genocide of Palestinians in Gaza is a sign that the Nakba never ended. Scenes of Palestinians fleeing en masse after being forced from their homes are repeating themselves as Israel establishes so-called safe zones in Gaza before proceeding to bomb them. The far-right Israeli government and Donald Trump in the US are openly musing about the prospects of ethnically cleansing Gaza of its Palestinian Arab population into neighbouring countries so that the region can be turned into a casino for western elites.

This year also marks 77 years of western support for Israeli genocide and apartheid. In Australia, the re-election of a right-wing Albanese Labor government that has shown nothing but murderous contempt for the people of Gaza over a year of genocide can only mean more misery for the Palestinians. Albanese's support for the genocide of Palestinians is an ALP tradition - ALP legend Doc Evatt was a key figure in pioneering the UN Partition Plan for Palestine in 1947 that signed away 57% of historic Palestine to the Zionist movement, thereby giving the green light for the ethnic cleansing of Arab Palestinians from that area and beyond. Australia's Labor government was also the first to vote in support of partition and recognition of Israel in the United Nations. We must continue to fight this government and have absolutely no illusions in the willingness of the ALP to stand up to Netanyahu and Trump's plans for Gaza.

Platform:

1. The SRC supports the May 15 rally to commemorate the Nakba and the ongoing genocide in Palestine.
2. The SRC supports the Students for Palestine contingent to the Nakba protest, meeting at 6:15pm at the QVB statue at Town hall.

3. The SRC acknowledges that Nakba was the beginning of the ethnic cleansing of Palestinians and acknowledges that the Nakba continues today.
4. The SRC condemns our current Labor government's complicity in the genocide in Palestine.
5. The SRC condemns Australia's role in creating the original partition plan that led to the Nakba.

Actions:

1. The SRC will promote the Students for Palestine contingent to the Nakba protest and the Palestine action group rally on social media.
2. The SRC will continue campaigning for a free Palestine.
3. The SRC will promote the NAKSA NDA on June 5th and the Rally on June 8th

Discussion:

Yoshi Leung spoke to the motion saying that people have seen the concentration camp style set up the Israeli government implemented in Gaza in places people are seeking aid. Adding that the IDF have shot over 100 people seeking aid. After people have been starving with no food coming into Gaza for over a month, they are at risk of famine and starvation. Adding that there was a good rally at the Nakba and more coming. Adding that the Labor government's comments condemning the genocide are 600 days too late and are empty words with no action, we need more people to come out to the rally and encouraged everyone to attend.

Dana Kafina spoke to the motions wanting to explain what the Naksa is, and gave a brief history of the Nakba from 1967 where many Palestinians were ex from their homes. Adding that this is an ongoing and pervasive part of the history of Israel - exiling Palestinians from their land. The government has been claiming to stand for human rights but will not take action on what is happening in Gaza and the rest of Palestine.

Gerard Buttigieg spoke to the motion and said we have been speaking about this issue since the escalation of the genocide in Gaza in October 2023. What we have seen since then, is little to no movement in the language, rhetoric or actions of the government. We need to see a much stronger response from the federal government they've gotten a huge mandate, and we know that the Australian people support the Palestinian people. The government need to place material sanctions, like the Labor government did to apartheid South Africa. Adding that We've seen the atrocious scenes of IDF soldiers shooting Palestinians trying to get food, and we need to see more happening form the Australian government, till then this government is complicit in the genocide of the Palestinians.

Shovan Bhattarai spoke to the motion saying what we are seeing now is a sickening escalation of the genocide, and attacks on Palestinians attempting to get aid. There has been a total blockade of Gaza, they have no access to food, and they are shot when attempting to get aid being brought in. Adding that the issue is the ALP hasn't gone far enough, it has been they have been completely complicit and only not that other governments have started to whisper to take action, Albo continues to say that we follow our own path, and they are so supportive of Israel and this genocide more they won't event take a stand when other countries finally do. Shovan continues

saying that the Australian government have always supported Israel, I think that South Africa isn't the analogy, it is that the ALP are one of the earliest to ever recognise Israel to begin with. Adding that we need to be standing against this entirely, we need not 'stronger words', we need justice for the Palestinian people. Shovan concluded saying if you don't feel in your bones that you need to be getting out there and building a fight to stop the murder of thousands more people, how can you call yourself part of the left. We don't need stronger words or weak actions; we need to fight for justice.

Moved: Annabel Pettit

Seconded: Yoshi Leung

The motion was put and **CARRIED**.

Q4. Condemn the nationwide attacks on university education: Solidarity with students fighting back.

Universities across the country have announced massive education cuts. At ANU, the University of Canberra, the University of Wollongong, UWA, UTS, WSU and Macquarie University bosses have made significant cuts to courses and department funding, sacking thousands of staff members. One of the worst-hit campuses has been ANU, where the uni executive announced \$250 million in cuts to spending as part of its "Renew ANU" program, reducing staff salaries by \$150 million. At Wollongong Uni, 100 subjects have been cut. Between WSU and UTS alone, 800 staff members are set to lose their jobs. At USyd we have seen our own cuts. Wage thieves deputy VC Annamarie Jagose and VC Mark Scott announced a \$150 million deficit, and since then, half of the philosophy department has been cut, and casual staff members throughout the uni have had their contracts terminated.

The university line justifying all this by saying that it's in dire straits financially is a blatant lie. The university sector has consistently produced billions in surplus, and members of senior university management receive some of the most eye-watering salaries in the country. In reality, the unis are simply seizing the opportunity to restructure and streamline education in the interests of greater profits and efficiency. They want to dumb down our education to cut costs and allow for a shift in focus towards the scientific and technological disciplines important to the 'national interest' of the Australian ruling class. However, students and staff haven't just accepted the gutting of our education. Inspiringly, all across the country, student and staff unions and student activists have been taking up the fight to stop the cuts. Forums, rallies, student strikes and votes of no confidence have been held, and the fight is just beginning. USyd management is taking notes on how these other universities are implementing cuts, so it is vital that students are putting up some resistance to their university managements.

Platform:

1. The SRC opposes the cuts at ANU, the University of Canberra, the University of Wollongong, UWA, UTS, WSU and Macquarie University.
2. The SRC supports the campaigns being run against the cuts and stands with students and staff resisting the cuts across the country.

Action

1. The SRC will make a solidarity statement with the *Stop the Cuts* campaigns at Macquarie University, UTS, WSU and ANU on social media.
2. The SRC will share social media posts from pages campaigning against cuts across the country.
3. The SRC will take a solidarity photo.

Discussion:

Jasmine Al-Rawi spoke to the motion saying while it was for last month, the cuts have only got worse since then. Macquarie University has cut even more, and now they are going into the holidays they are announcing the more while people aren't paying attention. USyd has announced its huge surplus with around ½ a billion and have suggested that they needed to make more cuts to break even. But they've made a huge profit, and Mark Scott has given himself another bonus, and is one of the most well-paid Vice Chancellors in the country. These job cuts are also about going after the union members, we need to organise a fight back and expose what they are doing so they aren't able to hide behind the scenes.

Tobias Hansson spoke to the motion noting that Mark Scott getting a pay rise shows the lie that is Universities are experiencing deficits and need massive budget cuts. It is outrageous to suggest that these cuts are anything but attacks on our education. Adding that their teacher survived the collapse of the Soviet Union without losing her job, made it to Australia and has now lost her job at Macquarie University. This isn't just about cutting costs at the uni, it's about degrading our education for profiteering.

Gerard Buttigieg proxied to Sargun Saluja

Aaron Khuc spoke to the motion saying that people should be able to have options and study the subjects they want to learn and not be stuck with generic courses. This has happened here and at so many unis, with some department specialities only being able to be minors and not majors anymore. We need to fight and show solidarity with Macquarie University staff and students.

Max Dao spoke to the motion saying that unis promote themselves as bastions of education learning and thought, but they show their true colours with these cuts as the corporate profit machines that they are. The cuts are targeted at union membership, and these are constant attacks at those who fight the broken system. Adding that funds go to those who maintain the status quo. Concluding that the uni cries poor to their students then Mark Scott takes home over a million dollars in income. We know who the enemy is, and we must fight back.

Jessica Heap spoke to the motion saying that when she heard about the cuts she was talking to her grandmother, the courses that she had studied at Macquarie were being cut, and she too was devastated. The justification that the Uni made was course deficits, but they weren't a good enough reason to limit people's education. We are seeing a push to studying for a job and not for the enhancement of learning and education in general.

Moved: Jasmine Al-Rawi

Seconded: Tobias Hansson

The motion was put and **CARRIED**

Q5. The University of Sydney SRC Endorses the National Day of Action against the Trump Administration on May 24: **WITHDRAWN**

Preamble:

This past week marked 100 days since the Trump administration returned to office in the United States. In that time, President Donald Trump has launched a renewed assault on civil liberties, science, healthcare, climate action, and the rights of marginalised communities — including immigrants and LGBTQI+ people.

The administration has unlawfully detained and deported individuals such as student protester Mahmoud Kalil and legal resident Kilmar Abrego Garcia, defying Supreme Court orders and expanding the use of offshore detention centres like Guantanamo Bay and CECOT — facilities known for their inhumane conditions — to detain individuals without due process, and prevent their return to the United States.

Trump's appointment of anti-vaxxer and conspiracy theorist Robert F. Kennedy Jr. as Secretary of Health and Human Services has intensified anti-science rhetoric within government, threatened access to abortion and gender-affirming care, and seen the return of preventable diseases such as measles. Proposals such as an autism registry signal a disturbing shift toward surveillance and discrimination against neurodivergent people.

Meanwhile, the appointment of billionaire Elon Musk to head the Department of Government Efficiency (DOGE) has led to over 200,000 federal job cuts and the gutting of vital institutions, including the Department of Education and Medicare. Trump's sweeping global tariffs have deepened economic inequality and potentially driven the world economy toward recession.

Despite these alarming developments, the Australian government has continued to deepen its ties with the Trump administration. The AUKUS military alliance — already criticised for escalating regional tensions and entrenching militarism — has been affirmed by the Labor government, where first payments are being made to the US for nuclear submarines. Australian leaders have not only reaffirmed the country's commitment to this pact but have extended an official invitation for President Trump to visit Australia later this year. We demand that these ties are severed. This ongoing political and military alignment signals a dangerous normalisation of far-right politics.

The Trump administration's attacks are too numerous to list, having caused immense harm not only in the United States but globally. In response, Students for Palestine have called a National Day of Action in solidarity with the protests that erupted across the U.S. in recent weeks. It is vital that this movement receives the full backing of the SRC to build momentum in the lead-up to the protest.

In endorsing the National Day of Action, the University of Sydney SRC affirms its solidarity with those resisting the far-right, authoritarianism and injustice. As students committed to justice and democracy, we reject Trumpism in all its forms and support global efforts to oppose its spread.

To that end, we intend to help organise and mobilise for a public demonstration at Sydney Town Hall on May 24, standing in solidarity with those resisting Trump's far-right agenda and sending a clear message that this kind of reactionary politics is not welcome, both at home and around the world.

Platform:

1. The USYD SRC will endorse the National Day of Action called by Students for Palestine. All councillors and office-bearers are expected to help promote the event through their official and personal channels, as well as attend the protest.
2. The SRC endorses the demand of the protest and calls for the Albanese Government to cut ties with the destructive Trump Administration.
3. The SRC will condemn the violent, hateful and unlawful actions of Donald Trump and his administration.

Action:

1. The USYD SRC will take a solidarity photo and post it to its social media.
2. The USYD SRC will share posts from Students for Palestine regarding the National Day of Action.

Moved: Xavier Skazlic

Seconded: Grace Street

The motion was **WITHDRAWN**

Q6. Dutton's downfall is welcome, but an Albanese victory is nothing to celebrate.

The federal election has delivered one positive result; the liberal party have been reduced to a pathetic rump of its former self. So dramatic was their defeat, with only 39 seats in the House of Representatives, that for the first time in Australian history, an opposition leader has lost their own seat. Peter Dutton is no longer leader, and most of the prospective candidates for liberal party leadership also lost their seats. A party whose politics are defined by serving corporate elites, vilifying refugees and migrants, unconditionally supporting genocide, peddling Trumpist rhetoric, and racism against indigenous people, should suffer no less of an electoral walloping. Let's hope they stay as weak and demoralised as they are now.

Nevertheless, a Labor victory is nothing to celebrate. For one, despite the landslide victory, Labor's primary vote was the 4th lowest in history. And for all the 'optimism' Anthony Albanese spoke about in his victory speech, there is nothing to be optimistic about with an incumbent Labor government. For over a year and a half they have greenlighted Israel's genocide in Gaza, over the course of which they have covered up the sale of Australian made weapons parts to Israel. They have passed immigration laws which require refugees to organise their own deportation, and kept open the brutal detention centres of Nauru and Manus Island. They have presided over a housing

crisis, due to which over 99.3% of those on a minimum wage are unable to afford the median rent, while landlords and property developers rake it in. They've allowed corporate duopolies (who've financed their election campaign) to price gouge ordinary people, while wealth has been transferred from the rich to the poor like never before, with Australia's richest increasing their wealth by over \$115 billion in the last 2 years coinciding with a historic decline in worker's real wages. And rather than boost spending on vital public services or cancel student debt, they've committed almost half a trillion dollars to building nuclear submarines.

The *Don't Risk Dutton* campaign, promoted by the SRC did nothing to criticise or oppose the Labor government. It was a badly concealed vote Labor campaign that sowed illusions into the benefits of another Labor government. This is not the approach a left wing SRC should take to elections. It counterposes the need to build up a fight against all the issues impacting students and workers in this country, by being uncritical to the party responsible for all the attacks on living standards and for Australia's support for the genocide in Palestine. Another Labor government will continue to be a step backwards for the living standards of the majority of people in this country. We know that Labor has accommodated the far right politics of Trump, even inviting him to visit Australia. Our role as student activists should be to call out and resist the terrible status-quo of Labor in power, not sell their pathetic policies as a win.

Concealed behind Albanese's vague remarks about 'positivity and courage', 'Australian values', and 'optimism and determination' lies the real project of the Labor party - to serve Australia's rich at the expense of its poor, and bolster Australian imperialism. No wonder he spent as much time on the campaign trail meeting privately with wealthy donors as Dutton.

Another 3 years of Labor means another 3 years of fighting. Fighting against the Albanese government and the capitalist system which they serve so loyally. That's why the vote for Victorian Socialists (1 in 10 people in the seat of Scullin, for instance) is so promising - it shows there's an appetite for politics which not only rejects the two party duopoly, but seeks radical, left-wing solutions to the issues of today.

Platform:

- 1) The SRC recognises and condemns the Albanese government as responsible for:
 - a) Presiding over a historic cut to working-class living standards
 - b) Backing Israel's genocide against the Palestinians
 - c) Betraying the thousands of refugees trapped in limbo, and passing more draconian immigration bills
 - d) Expanding the fossil fuel industry
 - e) Expanding the military budget
- 2) The SRC commits to continuing an activist fight against the Labor government.
- 3) The SRC will not promote the policies of the Labor government.

Action:

- 1) The SRC makes a post off its social media vowing to continue the fight against the Labor government for its complicity in the Gazan genocide, and its attacks on workers, immigrants and the poor.

Discussion:

Deaglan Godwin spoke to the motion and wanted to quote from Angus' report reading a section from the report on the federal election. Adding that he believes this is the outlook for many of those in this room who campaigned for the Labor government, but there is nothing for students and workers in this Labor government. Asking what was the first decision of the ALP after winning? And answered to open more fossil fuel mines and developments. Concluding with this is what we have to look forward to - more fossil fuel subsidies, more support of Israel and nothing for workers.

Lauren Finlayson spoke to the motion echoing Deaglan Godwin's statements saying the ALP has been an enemy of students and workers for years now and we see that in it's first actions. Adding that Labor students gave a gloss to the ALP saying that the Libs would attack the environment and healthcare, something the ALP has already done since being elected and called on Labor students to speak to this motion.

Vince Tafea spoke to the motion and said that one Palestinian child starving in Gaza has more courage than anyone in young Labor. Saying that people celebrating this as a massive win while people are still suffering and starving in Gaza is disgusting and that Penny Wong is seen as one of the most competent people in parliament, and she is very competent, at keeping silent and doing nothing for the people suffering in Palestine, even Kier Starmer is doing more. And asked the council is anyone could even defend this, and if they would.

Shovan Bhattarai spoke to Angus Fisher saying that he used the SRC platform to promote the ALP.

Angus Fisher responded saying that was false.

Shovan Bhattarai was named.

Luke Mešterović spoke to the motion repeating Vince Tafea's comments and saying that he will not be speaking about Palestine but about the Labor Environment minister. Continuing he called Murry Watt a despicable person, and spoke about the destruction this new gas project is going to cause, not just the environment at large, but the destruction of First Nations cultural land. Adding that there is no way to speak to this guy, and when he thinks of Murry Watt, he thinks of Crassus [Marcus Licinius Crassus], and what happened to him, and thinks that Murry Watt can be strapped to the gas shelf and swallow his crimes. Concluding that he was having a go at Labor left, but not to mistake that for not having anything to say about Labor right, and the point was this Albanese government is nothing to celebrate.

Zavier Skazlic spoke to the motion saying that it was telling that no one in Labor was willing to come up and defend anything Labor has been doing. Adding that we've seen losses to the environment, housing, the cost of living, but Labor had continued to address nothing. Xavier said this was the most right wing Labor government ever seen, and real change isn't going to come from the ballot box, but from continuing to fight.

Amelia Ireland spoke to the motion, acknowledging they don't go to USyd and are visiting from UTS, and wanted to respond to the debate saying that there are so many criticisms of the Labor party you can make and we do, but the Labor party is still the party of the unions, and until you affiliate to the unions, I will be a member of the Labor party. Adding that change from within does work as we've seen the positions passed at the Labor national conference in support of Palestine, and these have pushed the party line, and there is always more that can be done, but there are people working from within.

Deaglan Godwin wanted show a picture on the room's screens.

Angus Fisher said he could not put it up on screen.

Deaglan showed a photo from his phone of Jasmine Donnelly with Anothny Albanese that was shared around election time, adding that if he had a photo of himself with a war criminal, he would not post it, he would burn it, and pay penance for having met that war criminal. Adding that he did not want Labor to vote for this motion, but put their 'money where your mouth is' and vote against this motion. Deaglan Godwin quoted an ansus report saying that that wages are up, but pointed out that real wages are up less than 2%. Concluding that the ALP is helping the ruling class get more money, helping the ruling class go to war and if you had any credibility and were a part of the Labor party you'd vote against this motion.

Moved: Deaglan Godwin

Seconded: Lauren Finlayson

The motion was put and **CARRIED**

The President deferred the Chair to the Deputy Chairperson.

Q7. Regs changes

The following electoral reforms will be adopted. See the 2024 SRC Electoral Officer's Report for reference to "recommendations":

1. Ballot / Polling Reform

- **Recommendation 1.1: Relax the ballot authorisation rule (8.52 and 8.60(a))**

Delete 8.52(a) To be valid, a ballot paper must be authorised by an electoral official.

8.60(a) A ballot paper is formal if it is authorised by an electoral official and has a clear indication of the voter's first preference.

Amend to: 8.60(a) A ballot paper is formal if it has a clear indication of the voter's first preference and if it is authorised by an electoral official, unless the requirement for authority is waived by the Returning Officer.

- **Recommendation 1.2: Removal of postal vote rules (e.g. 8.57)**

Delete 8.57 and any internal references to 'postal votes'

- **Recommendation 1.3: BTL Opt-In Option for Council and NUS**

Amend 8.32(f) For the Council and NUS elections, the Electoral Officer may prescribe an Above the Line-only ballot paper in addition to a ballot paper that provides for Above the Line and Below the Line voting.

Add 8.32(g) In the event that there is an ATL-only ballot paper, the Electoral Officer must advertise both ballot paper options.

Add 8.32(h) In the event that there is an ATL-only ballot paper, voters may choose to opt-in to the ATL+BTL ballot paper

- **Recommendation 1.4: That the SRC amend the Regulations to clarify eligibility to vote**

Insert a definition of "student" in the Definitions section:

Student means a person who is enrolled in units of study at the University of Sydney or whose enrolment at the University of Sydney is suspended.

- **Recommendation 1.5: Amendment of Polling Dates in Extreme Circumstances**

Add 8.47(c) The Electoral Officer may recommend to the Executive that an alteration to the official polling day(s), if they have reasonable grounds to believe that there are exceptional circumstances that would affect the conduct of the elections, including but not limited to unsafe working conditions or radically reduced turnout. The recommendation must set out the grounds for the alteration, and must address how the drawbacks to changing the polling days are outweighed by the advantages.

Add 8.47(d) If the Executive approves the EO's recommendation to change the polling days, the EO must notify all campaign managers, candidates, and the ELA within four hours and notice must be published within twenty-four hours via the SRC Website, SRC social media channels, and the Honi Soit website, as well as in the next print issue of Honi Soit. Such notice must include the email address of the ELA for submission of any appeal to this decision.

- **Recommendation 1.6: Withdrawals after close of voting should not cause recounts**

Amend 24(b) A candidate in the ballot for Representatives, Honi Soit Editors or NUS Delegates may withdraw their nomination by sending a signed statement of withdrawal to the Electoral Officer at any time before the close of voting.

- **Recommendation 1.7: Amend Polling Booth Locations to reflect changes to campus**

Amend 8.50(a) During the polling days of the Annual Elections, the Electoral Officer must establish

- (i) on Tuesday, a polling booth at or around:
 - A. Jane Foss Russell Building between 8:45am and 5:15pm;
- (ii) on Wednesday, a polling booth at or around:
 - A. Jane Foss Russell Building between 8:45am and 5:15pm;
 - B. Fisher Library between 8:45am and 5:15 pm;
 - C. **Courtyard Cafe** between 10:45am and 3:15pm;
 - D. Conservatorium of Music between 10:30 am and 3:30 pm;
- (iii) on Thursday, a polling booth at or around:
 - A. Jane Foss Russell Building between 8:45am and 5:15pm;
 - B. Fisher Library between 8:45am and 5:15pm;
 - C. **Susan Wakil Health Building** between 10:45am and 3:15pm;
 - D. **The Abercrombie Business School** between 10:45am and 3:15pm.

Delete 8.50(e) to (g) and amend the title “Savings Provision” to “Industrial Action”

2. Campaigning Reforms

- Recommendation 2.1: Exclusion Area Changes

Add 6.70(d) A person must not campaign to a voter who is in possession of their ballot papers.

Add 6.70(e) A person must not direct, request, or otherwise cause a voter to leave the voting area before they have submitted their ballot papers.

- Recommendation 2.2: Changes to Rules Related to Postering (and similar)

Amend 8.69(m) A person must not remove, cover, destroy, damage or otherwise interfere with election material of a candidate, ticket or brand without the permission of that candidate, ticket, or brand.

- Recommendation 2.3: Intimidation Rule

Amend 8.69(c) It is a defence to subsection (b) if a person proves the actions which caused another person to feel intimidated were:

- (i) directed towards a candidate or campaigner;
- (ii) done for the purpose of campaigning;
- (iii) within the ordinary conventions of campaigning practices; and,
- (iv) the person has not previously been warned by an electoral official not to do similar acts towards the candidate or campaigner referred to in subsection.

3. Expenditure Reforms

- **Recommendation 3.1: Simplify Ticket and Brand Budget Calculations**

Amend 64(a) The expenditure limit for a ticket in the ballot for the Representatives is \$400.

Amend 8.64(f) A brand's expenditure limit may not exceed \$2100 if it does not contain a Presidential candidate and \$2850 if it does contain a Presidential candidate.

Other Reforms

That 8.69(k) be deleted: "A person must not campaign in a language other than English (LOTE)"

and

Amend 8.69(l) to read: "A person must not produce materials in a language other than English (LOTE), unless any words or sentences in the LOTE are accompanied by an English translation which is:

(i) accurate;

(ii) legible when viewed from the distance at which a reasonable elector would view the material; and

(iii) displayed in such a way that it is reasonably obvious the English text is a translation of text in the LOTE.

Discussion:

Angus Fisher spoke to the motion outlining what each of the recommended changes are and noted that most of these changes were recommended by the 2024 EO.

Riki Scanlan said they were happy to take any questions about the changes they presented.

Bohao Zhang spoke to the motion and spoke about the changes to the LOTE rules, and spoke about how it is frustrating that international students are only allowed to speak in English and that it was discriminatory and colonial that the only language able to be used during elections was English.

Procedural motion to separate regulations change recommendation 2.3 from the motion to be voted on separately

Moved: Deaglan Godwin

Seconded: Angus Fisher

The motion was put and **CARRIED**

Procedural motion to separate regulations change recommendation 1.7 from the motion to be voted on separately

Moved: Angus Fisher

Seconded: Deaglan Godwin

The motion was put and **CARRIED**

Debate moved to recommendation 1.7 polling booths.

Angus Fisher spoke to the regulations amendment, saying that this is an important change and the current structure as it currently missed a lot of foot traffic on campus and these changes will open up to students who are studying in areas not catered too by the current polling booth locations.

Grace Street spoke to the motion saying it was a great note to start on that opposition to this change will be considered gerrymandering, but saying that people are not able to participate in the elections due to polling booth locations, but there are a number of reasons many students don't participate over the past years not because of locations of the polling booths. Adding that she would like to propose an amendment to change this to have the Fisher booth open all three days, if we are concerned about increasing participation.

It was noted that this change could not be made from the floor and could be brought to a future meeting with proper notice.

Deaglan Godwin agreed with Grace street and spoke about that how the current booth structure had higher voter turn outs in the past so to frame this as a location issue was dishonest. Adding that the issue is that most students have to work full or part time and don't spend time on campus anymore. That this is a social problem and that the SRC should be addressing from an activism perspective. Continuing Deaglan noted that these proposed changes are identical to the changes proposed by the Liberal's in 2019. Angus's argument seems to be there should just be booths everywhere and this is just a way to appease right wing students. Concluding that there are people studying in ABS all the time and they don't complain about having to walk to JFR to vote.

Jasmine Donnelly responded saying that she doesn't understand why having a booth at ABS would be for right-wing students, and there is nothing wrong with having more booths. Asking if Deaglan saying that he doesn't want right-wing student voting because it's a business school and does he not want business school student's voting in SRC elections?

Philip Howard spoke to the motion saying that it was disappointing to see the removal of the PNR booth for engineers, but that changing the Manning booth to Courtyard made sense.

Shovan Bhattarai said that this change is gerrymandering, and is being put up because Labor think that right-wing business school students will vote for them. Adding that this is just gerrymandering, and this is about changing the electorate. Asking why have you moved this booth and not the colleges? Answering that it is because that one doesn't benefit Labor but this does. Concluding that there is not benefit to these changes and we should be more focused on getting more students engaged in activism, as that is the way to increase participation.

Motion to adopt the regulations change recommendation 1.7 on polling booth locations

Moved: Angus Fisher

Seconded: Bohao Zhang

The motion was put and **FAILED**.

Riki Scanlan asked the council that during the next elections to open a consultation on the booth structure, noting that smaller booths cost \$6 a vote while larger booths are around \$2 a vote, so it would be good to have some consultation to see what we can do to address this cost.

Debate moved to recommendation 2.3 intimidation rules

Angus Fisher said that the current regulations say you can be a terrible person and when you get caught you can just say you were campaigning and get away from it. These changes hold people accountable for their actions and are good for the SRC.

Deaglan Godwin said he will be opposing this regulation change on the grounds that the 'normal campaigning' is vague and not anywhere else in the regulations. Adding that there is no way to ensure how it could or would be interpreted, and it comes down to being a decision by the EO and we don't know who that will be or how it will be interpreted year to year.

Jasmine Donnelly spoke to the change saying that she thinks the way people act during elections is unnecessary and can be very disturbing to people. Adding that a lot of people really avoid you when you're campaigning, and we see people talking to one person then speaking to many others, and it can be really intimidating. Jasmine continued noting that have people put off by being swamped and there should be more defences to intimidation in the elections, and this is a good reform and would help increase turn out.

Jessica Heap spoke to the change and spoke about campaigning last year and how while speaking to a student they saw a fight between a group of campaigners, and it put them off voting altogether. Adding that we see this a lot, it creates an accessibility issue, there is a lot of disrespect, aggression and intimidation, and this need to stop. I think we can trust the EO in their interpretation and I think we can add more definitions if we want later, but we are scaring students off.

Shovan Bhattarai, spoke about the changes, and what Jasmine said was 'accosting students' on Eastern Avenue was campaigning, and saying that this accosting speaks to the issues with this change, as it's not clear what is considered 'normal'. Adding that and she would say talking to students is normal when you talk about contested campaigning. This change is about slowly ending contested elections. Adding that Jasmine doesn't want people pointing out she is a member of the Labor party, but we are not worried about being contested on our record, as we are handing out every day, all year around on issues. Concluding that she thought Labor wouldn't bring up contestation, but they did so I don't have to drag out how I think this is what this change is about.

Grace Street spoke to the motion saying that it was talked about this in the Standing Legal Committee and this needs clearer definition. Adding that with an increase in apathy which is seeing changes in campaigning norms, and the arbitrary wording is enough that it can be concerning.

Sargun Saluja spoke to the regulations change and how there wasn't contestation of ideas but

bullying and yelling during the elections which is just silencing people. Adding that it's not to do with contesting, but that we have a culture of bullying and harassment.

Motion to adopt the regulations change recommendation 2.3 on intimidation rules

Moved: Angus Fisher

Seconded: Bohao Zhang

The motion was put and **FAILED**.

Procedural motion to call a recess when the motion has been called.

Moved: Jasmine Donnelly

Seconded: Lucy Sullivan

The motion was put and **CARRIED**

Debate resumed on the remaining regulations changes in the motion.

Jasmine Donnelly spoke about upcoming motion. saying that the motion is skewed and not honest. Adding that the constitutional changes at University of Wollongong mentioned are almost word for word what are in the SRC regs already and does not think there is anything undemocratic about protecting the organisation from legal and financial liabilities, is a reason not to engage.

Shovan Bhattarai responded to Jasmine Donnelly's comments saying that she is presuming as a result of changing the topic of the debate that Jasmine is intending to pull quorum after the break. Adding that this motion is what Labor students care about - get these changes through and then silence the next motion, a motion about silencing the left. Adding that is what they want to do here too. Then asked Jasmine if she was saying that the Uni wouldn't fund the SRC if OBs had more free speech? Shovan continued saying that this is what you are doing - you are willingly being thought police. That this is about just about getting paid, and this is just a jumping off pad for your career. Concluded by saying Labor willingly silencing OBs, both your faction in Wollongong and use the same argument here - that we would get more money if we silence all speech on Palestine, and we should not be for that.

Motion to adopt the remaining regulations change recommendations

Moved: Angus Fisher

Seconded: Bohao Zhang

The motion was put and **CARRIED**.

The President resumed the Chair.

The meeting took a 15-minute recess at 8:35pm

There was a quorum count at 8:52pm.

The meeting was found inquorate.

There being not motion to wait the meeting LAPSED at 8:54pm.